GAO

Survey of NPL-Eligible Sites: U.S. EPA

51. F

Introduction

The U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO) examines issues for the U.S. Congress. We are conducting a review of contaminated sites that are considered "NPL-eligible." That is, these sites are found to be eligible for placement on the National Priorities List (NPL) after a site inspection by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). As part of our review, we are sending surveys to all EPA regions to request information on the individual sites located in their region. We are assessing the likelihood that sites will be placed on the NPL and the activities that are occurring to mitigate contamination at these sites.

This questionnaire asks about 1 of 3,000 NPL-eligible sites nationwide (as of October 8, 1997). In this questionnaire, we ask for information contained in your site inspection records. We are sending a similar survey to the appropriate state/territory/tribe to gain its perspective and to obtain additional information that they might have. Therefore, it is not necessary to consult with the state, territory, or tribe since they are also providing site information to us. Please have the most appropriate EPA staff fill out the questionnaire for the site indicated on the label.

Your response within 21 days of receiving this survey will help us avoid costly follow-ups. If the self-addressed business-reply envelope is missing, please return the questionnaire to the following address:

U.S. General Accounting Office Attn: Vincent Price 441 G Street NW, Room 2T23 Washington, DC 20548

If you have any questions, please call Vince Price at (202) 512-6529.

Thank you for your assistance.

Site name and location:

SATRA CONCENTRATES CO RD 74 STEUBENVILLE OH 43952

CERCLIS #: OHD010467538 GAO #: 2202-A

1. Please fill out the following in case we need to contact the person completing this survey.

2. Please answer each question below to determine whether this site should be included in our survey. (Please circle answers.)

- a. Is site deferred to RCRA or the Nuclear Regulatory Commission?
- b. Is site's preliminary Hazardeus Yes Ranking System score below 28.5?
- c. Is site now designated as "no further remedial action planned" (NFRAP)?
- of an existing NPL site?

 e. Is site proposed for the NPL?

 Yes No

d. Is site now addressed as part

- 3. Did you answer "yes" for any item above? (Check one.)
 - 1. [] Yes--> Please stop here and return this survey to us.
 - 2. [V] No --> Please continue with survey.

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr. 383920 Please note: Because we don't know whose information is most current, we are also asking the state/territory/tribe for answers to Questions 4-8, 13-15, 17, and 19. So, if you do not have the information for those questions, there is no need to contact the state/territory/tribe for the answers.

Effects of site's contamination

4.	How	does	contamination	at	this	site	affect
gro	undw	ater?	(Check one.)				

1.	[]	Actual contamination
2.	[Potential contamination

3.	[]	No potential or actual contamination
			identified

4. [] Need more information to answe

5. How does contamination at this site affect *drinking water* (surface water or groundwater sources)? (Check one.)

1.	[]	Actual	contamination

2.	$[\sqrt{1}]$	Potential	contamination
----	--------------	-----------	---------------

3. [] No potential or actual contamination identified

4. [] Need more information to answer

5. [] Other (Please explain.)

Site conditions

6.	$\Delta 1 c$	there	any	reside	ents or	regula	ir employees
wit	hin ().5 m	iles e	of the	site? /	Check	one.)

1.	ĺ		Residents only
2.	[]	Employees only
3.	[j	Both residents and employees
4.	l	1	Neither residents nor employees
5.	[}	Need more information to answe

6. [] Other (Please explain.)

7. Do your region's records and/or your knowledge of the site indicate that this site's contamination contributes to any of the following? (Check one for each row.)

(Check one for each row.)	Yes (1)	No (2)	Uncertain (3)	Other (Please explain.) (4)
Drinking water				
a. Residents are advised not to use their wells.		i		
b. Residents are advised to use filtered water.		l		
c. Residents are advised to use bottled water.		V		
d. Water supply is temporarily changed.		V		
e. Water supply is permanently changed.		V		
Other uses of water				
f. Livestock drink contaminated water.		V		
g. Crops are irrigated with contaminated water.		ν		
h. Fish could be unsafe to eat.		V		
i. Fish, plants, or animals are sick/dying.		ι "		
j. Recreation is stopped or restricted (e.g., fishing, swimming).		V		
k. Residents, workers, etc., use water that fails to meet water quality standards (e.g., for bathing, watering vegetable gardens, or landscaping).				

				ಕರ್ವಕರಾಯಿತ್ತಾರ ಜನ್ಮನಿಕಾರಗಳು ನಂದುರ್ಬಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿ. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
(Check one for each row.)	Yes (1)	No (2)	Incortain	
Soil/air				
I. Residents/others should avoid exposure to contaminated dust or other particulates on some days.		V		
m. Residents are advised not to let children play/dig in their yards.		V		
n. Fences/barriers/signs are erected to keep residents or others out of contaminated areas.			$\sqrt{}$	
o. Obnoxious odors are present.				
Other conditions			<u> </u>	
p. Trespassers, including children, may come into direct contact with contaminants.		/		
q. Workers or other legitimate visitors may come into direct contact with contaminants.		V		
r. Institutional restrictions are necessary because of the site's contamination (for example, a deed restriction limits the property to industrial use or a legal limit is placed on well depth).		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
s. Residents/community have concerns about contamination or potential health effects caused by this site.		\		

Mark Company of the contradable contradable contradable to the contradiction of the Company of t

EPA activity at the site

8. Has EPA overseen or funded any of the	
following activities at this site? (Check all the	at
apply.)	

1. [] Removing waste from the site

2. [] Taking other interim actions to mitigate the site's contamination

3. [] Constructing final cleanup

4. [] Other (Please specify.)

5. [V] None of the above --> Skip to Question 10.

9. In what calendar year did the above site activities begin? (See previous question.) (Enter two digits.)

19____

State/territorial/tribal activity at site

10. Has the state/territorial/tribal agency participated in evaluating and assessing this site (e.g., gathering information, hiring contractors)? (Check ot.e.)

- 1. [🗸 Yes
- 2. [] No
- 3. [] Don't know

11. Has EPA *funded* any assessment activities by the state/territory/tribe at this site? (*Check one.*)

- 1. [] Yes
- 2. [1 No
- 3. [] Don't know

Site risk

12. Please rate the *current risk* to human health and the environment posed by this site. (*Check one.*)

- 1. [] Very high risk
- 2. [] High
- 3. [] Average
- 4. [] Low
- 5. [] Very low risk
- 6. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer
- 7. [\(\text{Other (Please explain.)} \)

13. Please rate the *potential risk* to human health and the environment posed by this site if it is not cleaned up. (*Check one.*)

- 1. [] Very high risk
- 2. [] High
- 3. [] Average
- 4. [] Low
- 5. [] Very low risk
- 6. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer
- 7. Other (Please explain.)

Status of site cleanup

be need	ed a	ìt	eptember 30, 1997, will more cleanup this site to protect human health or ent? (Check one.)	respon under	sib wh	le at	expect participation by potentially parties (PRPs) in this site's cleanup, program(s) would this activity occur' hat apply.)
1. []		Definitely yes	1.	ſ	1	Do not expect PRP participation
2. []		Probably yes	2.	l T	1	CERCLA after placement on NPL
3. [[]		Uncertain	3.		1	CERCLA without placement on
4. []		Probably no	3.	Ĺ	1	NPL
5. [}		Definitely no	4.	[}	RCRA (including delegated to state
6. []		Cannot say; depends on future spread of contamination	5.	[}	State/territorial/tribal program
7. [. VI		Too early to tell/Need more information to answer	6.	[ν	/]	Too early to tell/Need more information to answer
8. [.]		Other (Please explain.)	7.	[]	Other (Please specify.)
complet	e al hun	l 1a	reproperty under way that will remediation needed at this site to n health and the environment?	involv 1.	em [of PRPs at this site? (Check one.) No PRP likely (orphan site, etc.)
1. {	one		Yes	2.	[]	PRP(s) identified, but viability is uncertain
2. [. }		No	3.	{	}	
3. []		Cleanup is under way but it is too early to tell if more will be needed	4.]]	PRP(s) will participate in site's
4. []		Other (Please explain.)				cleanup, but extent of participation uncertain
				5.	[]	PRP(s) likely to clean up all or almost all of site's contamination

PRP involvement at site

8. [] Other (Please specify.)

Opinions on site's placement on NPL

18. Considering EPA records and your professional opinion, will this site eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.)	19. In your professional opinion, which <i>one</i> of the following seems to be the <i>most likely</i> outcome for this site? (Check only one.)			
1. [] Definitely yes	1. [] Cleanup as an NPL site			
2. [] Probably yes	2. [] No NPL listing, but EPA conducts or oversees cleanup (RCRA,			
3. [] Uncertain	removal, etc.)			
4. [] Probably no	3. [] No NPL listing, but the state/ territory/tribe conducts or oversees			
5. [] Definitely no	cleanup (enforcement, voluntary cleanup, state-funded cleanup, etc.)			
6. [] Contamination no longer qualifies site for placement on the NPL	4. [] No cleanup conducted because not			
7. [Too early to tell/Need more information to answer	needed to protect human health and the environment			
8. [] Other (Please explain.)	5. [] Further cleanup action is needed, but will not be conducted (due to limited resources, other priorities, etc.).			
	6. [\tag{7} Too early to tell/Need more information to answer			
	7. [] Other (Please describe.)			

THE HOUSE TAXABLE TO S

20. In your opinion, to what extent does each of the following statements currently explain why this site has n_0 already been proposed for the NPL? (Check one for each row.)

(Check one for each row.)	Major factor (1)	Moderate factor (2)	Minor factor (3)	Not a factor (4)	No basis to judge (5)
a. We consider the state/territorial/tribal program to have the lead for the site.	V				
b. The state/territory/tribe told EPA that it plans to conduct or oversee cleanup.					Ú
c. The state/territory/tribe is already conducting or overseeing further cleanup or assessment.					/
d. State/territory/tribe is waiting for resources to proceed with cleanup/further assessment.					/
e. We are waiting for the state/territory/tribe to provide necessary information.					/
f. EPA's assessment resources are limited.				V	
g. EPA's resources for placing sites on the NPL are limited.					
h. EPA's cleanup resources are limited.					
i. The state/territory/tribe is opposing inclusion on the NPL.					V
j. The local government/community is opposing inclusion on the NPL.					V
k. We expect the site to be deferred to RCRA.					1
1. On removal program is working on the site.					
m. We are waiting for a federal agency (as PRP) to provide necessary information.					V
n. We need to collect more information on the current risk at this site.			·		V
o. Site is awaiting expanded site inspection (ESI).		✓			
p. Site is undergoing ESI.					
 q. Hazardous ranking system (HRS) package preparation is underway. 					V
r. Placing site on NPL is low priority because contamination does not <i>currently</i> threaten humans or the environment.					1
s. We are waiting for a letter from the governor supporting placement on the NPL.					V

			information that you used to answer (Check one.)
1.	[]	1990 or earlier
2.	{]	1991
3.	['	4	1992
4.	[]	1993
5.	[]	1994
6.	[]	1995
7.	[]	1996
8.	[]	1997
9.	[]	Other (Please explain.)
you us	ed ry l	to belo	consider the information sources that complete this survey and indicate the low that most closely fits your Check one.)
1.	[٧	/ _]	Used site records only; have no other experience with this site
2.	[]	Used my own knowledge of this site and site records as needed
3.	[]	Other (Please explain.)

22. What is the approximate calendar year of the

24. Thank you for your assistance with this survey. You may use the space below to add comments.

GAO

Survey of NPL-Eligible Sites: States, Territories, and Tribes

Introduction

The U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO) is an agency that examines issues for the U.S. Congress. We are conducting a review of contaminated sites that are considered "NPL-eligible." That is, after a site inspection by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), these sites are found to be eligible for placement on the National Priorities List (NPL), also known as Superfund. As part of our review we are sending surveys to all states, territories, and Indian tribes to request information on the individual sites located in their jurisdictions. We are assessing the likelihood that sites will be placed on the NPL and the activities that are occurring to mitigate contamination at these sites.

This questionnaire asks about 1 of 3,000 NPL-eligible sites nationwide (as of October 8, 1997). Please make a reasonable effort to answer the questions. Because we are also sending a similar survey to U.S. EPA, we are especially interested in the information that they may not have on this site. If you cannot provide an answer to a question, check the box that indicates information is not available. It is not necessary to consult with U.S. EPA since they are also providing site information to us. Please have the most appropriate staff fill out each survey.

Your response within 21 days of receiving this survey will help us avoid costly follow-ups. If the self-addressed business-reply envelope is missing, please return the questionnaire to the following address:

U.S. General Accounting Office Attn: Rosemary Torres Lerma 200 West Adams. Suite 700 Chicago, IL 60606

If you have any questions, please call Rosemary Torres Lerma at (312) 220-7644.

Thank you for your assistance.

Site name and location:

SATRA CONCENTRATES CO RD 74 STEUBENVILLE OH 43952

CERCLIS #: OHD010467538 GAO #: 2202-A

1. Please fill out the following in case we need to contact the person completing this survey.

Name: JONATHAN JACOBS

State/Terr.: OH (2-letters) or Tribe:

Agency/Dept. OH 10 EPA

Phone: (614) 385-8501

Please note: Because we don't know whose information is most current, we are also asking U.S. EPA's regional office for answers to Questions 2-6, 10-12, 14, and 19. So, if you do not have the information for those questions, there is no need to contact U.S. EPA for the answers.

Effects of site's contamination

- 2. How does contamination at this site affect groundwater? (Check one.)
 - 1. [] Actual contamination
 - 2. [Potential contamination
 - 3. [] No potential or actual contamination identified
 - 4. [] Need more information to answer
 - 5. [] Other (Please explain.)

I. [] Actual contamination				
2. [Potential contamination				
3. [] No potential or actual contamination	on identifie	·d		
4. [] Need more information to answer				
5. [] Other (Please explain.)				
Site conditions				
4. Are there any residents or regular employees within 0.5 miles of the site? (Check one.)				
1. [] Residents only				
2. [] Employees only				
3. [Both residents and employees				
4. [] Neither residents nor employees				
5. [] Need more information to answer				·
6. [] Other (Please explain.)				
5. Do your state's/territory's/tribe's records and/o contamination contributes to any of the following				cate that this site's
containmation contributes to any of the following	g: (Cneck	one jor e	each row.)	
(Check one for each row.)	Yes (1)	No (2)	Uncertain (3)	Other (Please explain.) (4)
Drinking water		,		
a. Residents are advised not to use their wells.				
b. Residents are advised to use filtered water.		1		

3. How does contamination at this site affect *drinking water* (surface water or groundwater sources)? (Check one.)

c. Residents are advised to use bottled

d. Water supply is temporarily changed.

e. Water supply is permanently changed.

(Check one for each row.)	Yes (1)	No (2)	Uncertain (3)	Other (Please explain.) (4)
Other uses of water				
f. Livestock drink contaminated water.				
g. Crops are irrigated with contaminated water.				
h. Fish could be unsafe to eat.				
i. Fish, plants, or animals are sick/dying.				
j. Recreation is stopped or restricted (e.g., fishing, swimming).				
k. Residents, workers, etc., use water that fails to meet water quality standards (e.g., for bathing, watering vegetable gardens, or landscaping).			✓	
Soil/air				
Residents/others should avoid exposure to contaminated dust or other particulates on some days.	/			
m. Residents are advised not to let children play/dig in their yards.				
n. Fences/barriers/signs are erected to keep residents or others out of contaminated areas.		1		
o. Obnoxious odors are present.				
Other conditions				
p. Trespassers, including children, may come into direct contact with contaminants.				
q. Workers or other legitimate visitors may come into direct contact with contaminants.	V			
r. Institutional restrictions are necessary because of the site's contamination (for example, a deed restriction limits the property to industrial use or a legal limit is placed on well depth).				
s. Residents/community have concerns about contamination or potential health effects from this site.				

State/territorial/tribal activities

6. Has your state/territory/tribe overseen or funded any of the following activities at this site? (Check all that apply.)	site, either by the PRP or by your state/territory/tribe? (Check one.)
1. [] No state/territorial/tribal program actions taken yet> Skip to Question 8.	 [] No 2. [Yes> a. In what calendar year? (Check one.)
2. [Investigating/Assessing site	1. [] 1997
3. [] Removing waste from the site	2. [] 1998
4. [] Taking other interim actions to mitigate the site's contamination	3. [] 1999 4. [] 2000-2003
5. [] Constructing final cleanup6. [] Other (Please specify.)	5. [] 2004-2009 6. [] 2010 or later
7. Under what state/territorial/tribal program did the above activities (reported in Question 6) occur? (Check all that apply.) 1. [] Potentially responsible party (PRP)	7. [] Don't know 3. [] Too early to tell 4. [] Other (Please explain.)
activity under an enforcement program PRP activity under a voluntary cleanup program	Site risk
3. [] PRP activity under another state/territorial/tribal program	9. Please rate the <i>current risk</i> to human health and the environment posed by this site. (Check one.)
4. [Activity funded by state/territory/tribe	 [] Very high risk [] High
5. [] Activity funded by U.S. EPA	. 3. [] Average
6. [] Other (Please specify.)	4. [] Low
	5. [] Very low risk
	6. [Too early to tell/Need more information to answer
	7. [] Other (Please explain.)

8. Do you expect to begin any *future* on-site cleanup activities (removal or remediation) at this

10. Please rate the <i>potential risk</i> to human health and the environment posed by this site if it is not cleaned up. (Check one.)	12. Is cleanup currently under way that will complete all remediation needed at this site to protec human health and the environment? (Check one.)
1. [] Very high risk	1. [] Yes
2. [] High	2. [No
3. [] Average	3. [] Cleanup is under way but it is too early to tell if more will be needed
4. [] Low	4. [] Other (Please explain.)
5. [] Very low risk	
6. [Too early to tell/Need more information to answer	nnn taalaanaa
7. [] Other (Please explain.)	PRP involvement 13. If you expect participation by PRP(s) in this site's cleanup, under what program(s) would this activity occur? (Check all that apply.)
Status of cleanup	1. [] Do not expect PRP participation
11. As of September 30, 1997, will more cleanup be needed at this site to protect human health or the environment? (Check one.)	 State/territorial/tribal voluntary cleanup State/territorial/tribal enforcement (using an order, decree, or other legal
1. [] Definitely yes	agreement)
2. [Probably yes	4. [] Other state/territorial/tribal program (solid waste, water resources, etc.)
3. [] Uncertain	5. [] U.S. EPA program
4. [] Probably no	6. [] Too early to tell/Need more
5. [] Definitely no	information to answer
6. [] Cannot say; depends on future spread of contamination	7. [] Other (Please specify.)
7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer	
8. [] Other (Please explain.)	

1. [] No PRP likely (orphan site, etc.)>(skip to Question 16.) 2. [] PRP(s) identified, but viability is uncertain 3. [] PRP(s) identified, but cooperation is uncertain 4. [] PRP(s) will participate in site's cleanup, but extent of participation uncertain 5. [] PRP(s) likely to clean up all or almost all of site's contamination 6. [] PRP(s) have already begun final cleanup and are expected to fund all or almost all of it 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) 7. [] Too what extent is the cooperation of this site's PRP(s) better or worse because of the possibility of the site's inclusion on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably yes 5. [] Probably yes 6. [] Much worse	14. Which one of the following best describes involvement of PRPs at this site? (Check one.)	16. If you do not have a PRP who is likely to fund cleanup at this site, do you anticipate funding				
2. [] PRP(s) identified, but viability is uncertain 3. [PRP(s) identified, but cooperation is uncertain 4. [] PRP(s) will participate in site's cleanup, but extent of participation uncertain 5. [] PRP(s) likely to clean up all or almost all of site's contamination 6. [] PRP(s) have already begun final cleanup and are expected to fund all or almost all of it 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) Opinions on site's placement on NPL 17. Considering your state's/territory's/tribe's environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably yes 2. [] Probably yes 3. [] Uncertain 5. [] Definitely no 6. [] Probably programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] Definitely yes 2. [] Probably yes 3. [] Uncertain 6. [] Probably programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] Definitely yes 2. [] Probably yes 3. [] Uncertain 6. [] Probably yes 9. [] Probably yes 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably yes 9. [] Definitely no 1. [] Definitely no 2. [] Probably no 3. [] Probably no						
2. [] Definitely yes 3. [] PRP(s) identified, but cooperation is uncertain 4. [] PRP(s) will participate in site's cleanup, but extent of participation uncertain 5. [] PRP(s) likely to clean up all or almost all of site's contamination 6. [] PRP(s) have already begun final cleanup and are expected to fund all or almost all of it 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Too what extent is the cooperation of this site's PRP(s) better or worse because of the possibility of the site's inclusion on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably yes 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 8. [] Definitely no 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 9. [] Ophions on site's placement on NPL 17. Considering your state's/territory's/tribe's environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] Definitely yes 2. [] Probably yes 3. [] Definitely no 5. [] Definitely no 6. [] Probably no 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.)		1. [Does not apply: PRP(s) likely to fund cleanup				
but extent of participation uncertain 5. [] PRP(s) likely to clean up all or almost all of site's contamination 6. [] PRP(s) have already begun final cleanup and are expected to fund all or almost all of it 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) Opinions on site's placement on NPL 17. Considering your state's/territory's/tribe's environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site wite eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably no 5. [] Definitely no 6. [] Probably no 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.)	3. [VPRP(s) identified, but cooperation is					
5. [] PRP(s) likely to clean up all or almost all of site's contamination 6. [] PRP(s) have already begun final cleanup and are expected to fund all or almost all of it 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Considering your state's/territory's/tribe's environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site wile eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 9. [] Definitely no 9. [] Definitely no 9. [] Probably yes 9. [] Probably yes 9. [] Probably no 9. [] Definitely no 9. [] Definitely no 9. [] Definitely no 9. [] Contamination no longer qualifies so for placement on the NPL		4. [] Uncertain				
6. [] PRP(s) have already begun final cleanup and are expected to fund all or almost all of it 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) Opinions on site's placement on NPL 17. Considering your state's/territory's/tribe's environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Probably yes 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] No impact 5. [] Worse 6. [] Much worse						
8. [] Other (Please explain.) 7. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 8. [] Other (Please specify.) Opinions on site's placement on NPL 17. Considering your state's/territory's/tribe's environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Probably yes 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably no 5. [] Worse 6. [] Contamination no longer qualifies s for placement on the NPL	cleanup and are expected to fund all or	7. [] Too early to tell/Need more				
17. Considering your state's/territory's/tribe's environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 15. To what extent is the cooperation of this site's PRP(s) better or worse because of the possibility of the site's inclusion on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Probably yes 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [Probably no 5. [] Worse 6. [] Contamination no longer qualifies s for placement on the NPL	7. [] Too early to tell/Need more	8. [] Other (Please explain.)				
environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will eventually be placed on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] No viable PRP known 2. [] Much better 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably yes 4. [] No impact 5. [] Definitely no 6. [] Much worse	8. [] Other (Please specify.)	Opinions on site's placement on NPL				
the site's inclusion on the NPL? (Check one.) 1. [] Definitely yes 2. [] Probably yes 2. [] Much better 3. [] Uncertain 4. [] Probably no 4. [] No impact 5. [] Definitely no 6. [] Contamination no longer qualifies s for placement on the NPL 6. [] Much worse		environmental cleanup programs (legal authority, funding, and personnel), do you think this site will				
 [] Much better [] Uncertain [] Better [] Probably no [] No impact [] Definitely no [] Worse [] Contamination no longer qualifies s for placement on the NPL [] Much worse 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 3. [] Better 4. [] Probably no 5. [] Definitely no 6. [] Contamination no longer qualifies s for placement on the NPL 6. [] Much worse 	(()	1. [] Definitely yes				
 4. [] No impact 5. [] Definitely no 6. [] Contamination no longer qualifies s for placement on the NPL 6. [] Much worse 						
5. [] Worse 6. [] Contamination no longer qualifies s for placement on the NPL 6. [] Much worse	1. [] No viable PRP known	2. [] Probably yes				
for placement on the NPL 6. [] Much worse	 [] No viable PRP known [] Much better 	2. [] Probably yes3. [] Uncertain				
· · ·	 [] No viable PRP known [] Much better [] Better 	2. [] Probably yes3. [] Uncertain4. [] Probably no				
7. [Too early to tell/Need more information to answer	 [] No viable PRP known [] Much better [] Better [] No impact [] Worse 	 [] Probably yes [] Uncertain [] Probably no [] Definitely no [] Contamination no longer qualifies site 				
information to answer 8. [] Other (Please explain.)	 [] No viable PRP known [] Much better [] Better [] No impact [] Worse [] Much worse [] Too early to tell/Need more 	 [] Probably yes [] Uncertain [] Probably no [] Definitely no [] Contamination no longer qualifies site for placement on the NPL [] Too early to tell/Need more 				
8. [] Other (Please explain.)	 [] No viable PRP known [] Much better [] Better [] No impact [] Worse [] Much worse [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 	 [] Probably yes [] Uncertain [] Probably no [] Definitely no [] Contamination no longer qualifies site for placement on the NPL [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer 				

18. Which of the following best describes your state's/territory's/tribe's departmental position on NPL listing for this site? (Check one.)	20. In what calendar year do you expect the construction of final cleanup remedy will be completed? (Check one.)
1. [] Support	1. [] 1997
2. [] Neutral	2. [] 1998
3. [] Oppose	3. [] 1999
4. [] Contamination no longer qualifies site for placement on the NPL	4. [2000-2003
	5. [] 2004-2009
5. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer	6. [] 2010 or later
6. [] Other (Please explain.)	7. [] Cleanup remedy already completed
	8. [] Cleanup remedy not needed to protect human health and the environment
19. In your professional opinion, which <i>one</i> of the following seems to be the <i>most likely</i> outcome for this site? (Check only one.)	9. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer
	10. [] Other (Please explain.)
1. [] Cleanup as an NPL site	
2. [] No NPL listing, but U.S. EPA conducts or oversees cleanup (RCRA, removal, etc.)	
3. [No NPL listing, but our state/territory/ tribe conducts or oversees cleanup (enforcement, voluntary cleanup, statefunded cleanup, etc.)	
No cleanup conducted because not needed to protect human health and the environment	
5. [] Further cleanup action is needed, but will not be conducted (due to limited resources, other priorities, etc.).	·
6. [] Too early to tell/Need more information to answer	
7. [] Other (Please describe.)	

Sources of information

21. Considering your answers to all survey

questions, what is the most approximate calendar

				ost recent information that you provided (Check one.)
	1.	[]	1990 or earlier
	2.	[]	1991
	3.	[]	1992
	4.	[]	1993
	5.	[]	1994
	6.	[]	1995
	7.	[]	1996
	8.	[,	1	1997
	9.	[]	Other (Please explain.)
22.	Ple	ease	e co	onsider the information sources that you

23. Thank you for your assistance with this survey. You may use the space below to add comments.

used to complete this survey and indicate the

(Check one.)

category below that most closely fits your situation.

1. [] Used site records only; no other experience with this site

3. [] Other (Please explain.)